UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,484	02/08/2002	Kevin Ivers	EPARK-1	4002
1218 CASELLA & H	7590 01/28/200 IESPOS	9	EXAMINER	
274 MADISON AVENUE			ARAQUE JR, GERARDO	
NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3689	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/049,484	IVERS, KEVIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gerardo Arague Jr.	3689	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REP	LY FILED 31 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
appl appl for (<u>pe</u> ric	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this lication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the lication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time ods:
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
. —	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee EFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, e any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL
	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing	g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a size of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
(a)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
` ' =	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)[They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4.	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Ne	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the -allowable claim(s).
7. X For how The Clai	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed:
	m(s) objected to:
	m(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> . m(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. 🔲 The	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
	e request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	te the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
	her: The applicant has provided additional limitations that have yet to be considered, such as, but not limited to, a plurlity of and non-human readable information, and will require further search and consideration.
In regard :	to the arguments, the Evaminer finds them to be most since they are directed towards the newly amended claim language as

In regard to the arguments, the Examiner finds them to be moot since they are directed towards the newly amended claim language as well as points that have already been discussed in detail in previous actions, such as the limitation directed towards the corner cube.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Janice A. Mooneyham/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090121